

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,761	08/02/2002	Scott J. Moran	1998.4049.007	8841
7590 06/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Eric T Jones			BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 4390			3636	
Troy, MI 48099-4390			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,761	MORAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony D Barfield	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	·					
	,—					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,761

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment filed 10/06/03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The control module has an input connectable to a fluid supply system and includes only enough outputs to connect respective cells of a portion of the array to the fluid supply system via the control module. This allows the array of air cells to be conformed to any one of a plurality of different vehicle types by connecting to the limited number of outputs only those cells that are appropriate or intended for use in a given vehicle type. The controller is selected from among a plurality of different function controllers configured to operate the occupant support apparatus according to different respective user preferences. This allows different function controllers to be selected and installed to provide different types of control for the array. According to another aspect of the invention the control module is programmed to have target pressures attained by using a programmed time of inflation or deflation of the expandable chambers as established by desired program sequences of operation by the control module. This type of automatic control of the air cell pressures eliminates the need for a feedback function such as the use of pressure transducers for indicating full inflation or deflation of the air cells.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,761 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter is as follows a control module has an input connectable to a fluid supply system and includes **only enough outputs** to connect respective cells of a portion of the array to the fluid supply system via the control module. This allows the array of air cells to be conformed to any one of a plurality of different vehicle types by connecting to the limited number of outputs only those cells that are appropriate or intended for use in a given vehicle type.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 3636

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-16 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rhodes Jr. Rhodes Jr. shows the use of a vehicle seat arrangement having an array of air cells (1) with expandable chambers; a control module (12,14), a fluid supply system and fittings (33) for cutting of one or more of the air cells to conform to the array. Rhodes Jr. further teaches that the control module includes a controller (16) and pump (12), supply hoses (31) and wiring harness as shown in Figure 1. The array could inherently be adapted to any vehicle type (as Rhodes Jr. discloses that the module may be programmed for various users in their respective vehicles). Furthermore applicant should note that the system as disclosed is not just for use in a particular vehicle but for all vehicles as Rhodes has disclosed various embodiments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes Jr. The method steps as recited would inherently be incorporated within the use of the invention, as taught by Rhodes Jr.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,761

Art Unit: 3636

Response to Arguments

Page 5

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,761

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

nthony D Barfield

Art Unit 3636

adb

June 14, 2004